United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/696,404	10/28/2003	Dennis J. Carroll	END920030031US1	7361	
30449 7590 SCHMEISED OLS		EXAMINER			
SCHMEISER, OLSEN & WATTS 22 CENTURY HILL DRIVE			COLAN, GIOVANNA B		
SUITE 302 LATHAM, NY 121	10		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LATHAM, NT 121	12110		2162		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	RIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		02/23/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/69	96,404	CARROLL, DENI	CARROLL, DENNIS J.			
		Exam	niner	Art Unit				
	·	Giova	nna Colan	2162				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears o	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this composition of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. atutory period will apply a v will, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMU no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) No the application to become	NICATION. or a reply be timely filed SONTHS from the mailing date of this or abandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>04 Decemb</i>	er 2006.					
2a)□								
3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·	·					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 35-85 is/are pending in the	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-85</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or electi	on requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted of	or b) objected	to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing	g(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is re	equired if the draw	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a),	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	PTO-948)		of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is issued in response to the Amendment filed on 12/04/2006.
- 2. No claims were amended. Claims 1 34 were canceled. No claims were added.
- 3. Claims 35 85 are pending in this application.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 35 – 85 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The grounds are in view of the reasons discussed bellow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 6. Claims 35 85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 35, 50, 65, and 76 are not statutory because the claims merely recite computing steps without producing any concrete, useful result and tangible result and/or being limited to a practical application within the technological arts. For example the step of generating C child nodes in claims 35, 50, 65, and 76 does not produce any concrete, useful and tangible result.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,404

Art Unit: 2162

That is, it must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result." State Street, 149 F.3d at *>1373-74<, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02. The purpose of this requirement is to limit patent protection to inventions that possess a certain level of "real world" value, as opposed to subject matter that represents nothing more than an idea or concept, or is simply a starting point for future investigation or research (Brenner v. Manson, 383 U.S. 519, 528-36, 148 USPQ 689, 693-96 **> (1966); In re Fisher, 421 F.3d 1365, 76 USPQ2d 1225 (Fed. Cir. 2005); In re Ziegler, 992 F.2d 1197, 1200-03, 26 USPQ2d 1600, 1603-06 (Fed. Cir. 1993)).

Furthermore, Claims 35, 50, 65, and 76 are not statutory because the claims merely recites nonfunctional descriptive material per se. Such claims recite "executing an algorithm", which merely are abstract ideas or concepts, and further the acts are not being applied to appropriate subject matter.

The claims lack the necessary physical articles or objects to constitute a machine or a manufacture within the meaning of 35 USC 101. They are clearly not a series of steps or acts to be a process nor are they a combination of chemical compounds to be a composition of matter. As such, they fail to fall within a statutory category. They are, at best, functional descriptive material per se.

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." Both types of "descriptive material" are nonstatutory when claimed as descriptive material per se, 33 F.3d at 1360, 31 USPQ2d Application/Control Number: 10/696,404

Art Unit: 2162

at 1759. When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium, it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare *In re Lowry*, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994)

Merely claiming nonfunctional descriptive material, i.e., abstract ideas, stored on a computer-readable medium, in a computer, or on an electromagnetic carrier signal, does not make it statutory. See *Diehr*, 450 U.S. at 185-86, 209 USPQ at 8 (noting that the claims for an algorithm in *Benson* were unpatentable as abstract ideas because "[t]he sole practical application of the algorithm was in connection with the programming of a general purpose computer.").

According to MPEP 2106.02 [R-5], Claims to processes that do nothing more than solve mathematical problems or manipulate abstract ideas or concepts are complex to analyze and are addressed herein. If the "acts" of a claimed process manipulate only numbers, abstract concepts or ideas, or signals representing any of the foregoing, the acts are not being applied to appropriate subject matter. Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 71 - 72, 175 USPQ 673, 676 (1972). Thus, a process consisting solely of mathematical operations, i.e., converting one set of numbers into another set of numbers, does not manipulate appropriate subject matter and thus cannot constitute a statutory process.

In practical terms, claims define nonstatutory processes if they:

Application/Control Number: 10/696,404 Page 5

Art Unit: 2162

- consist solely of mathematical operations without some claimed practical application (i.e., executing a "mathematical algorithm"); or

simply manipulate abstract ideas, e.g., a bid (Schrader, 22 F.3d at 293-94, 30
 USPQ2d at 1458-59) or a bubble hierarchy (Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360, 31
 USPQ2d at 1759), without some claimed practical application.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,404 Page 6

Art Unit: 2162

Points Of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Giovanna Colan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2752. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Giovanna Colan Examiner Art Unit 2162 February 15, 2007

Sana Al Hadrewi